NOTICE

This opinion is subject to further editing and modification. The final version will appear in the bound volume of the official reports.

No. 95-2153-OA

STATE OF WISCONSIN

IN SUPREME COURT

State of Wisconsin ex rel. Tommy G. Thompson, Governor, State of Wisconsin,

Petitioner,

FILED

MAR 29, 1996

John T. Benson, State Superintendent of Public Instruction, Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction and James E. Doyle, Attorney General,

Marilyn L. Graves Clerk of Supreme Court Madison, WI

Necessary-Parties,

Marquelle Miller, Cynthia Miller, Angela Gray, Zachery Gray, Shon Richardson, George Richardson, Latrisha Henry, Faye Henry, Reigne Barrett, Valerie Barrett, Candice Williams, Senton Williams, Clintrai Giles, Sharon Giles, Parents for School Choice, Pilar Gonzalez, Dinah Cooley, Julie Vogel, Kate Helsper, Blong Yang, Gail Crockett, Yolanda Lassiter and Jeanine Knox,

Necessary-Parties-Intervening-Petitioners,

Rep. Annette Polly Williams,

Intervenor-Petitioner,

v.

Warner Jackson, Jennifer Evans, Wendell Harris, The Reverend Andrew Kennedy, Rabbi Issac Serotta, Ceilann Libber, Father Thomas J. Mueller, Reverend John N. Gregg, Diane Brewer, Colleen Beaman, Mary Morris, Penny Morse, Kathleen Jones, Philip Jones, Milwaukee Teachers' Education Association, by its President, M. Charles Howard, Michael Langyel, Donald Lucier, Tracy Adams, Milwaukee Public Schools Administrators and Supervisors Council, Inc., by its Executive

Director, Carl A. Gobel, People for the American Way, by its Executive Vice President and Legal Director, Elliott M. Mincberg, John Drew, Susan Endress, Richard Riley, Jeanette Robertson, Vincent Knox, Bertha Zamudio, James Johnson, Robert Ullman and Sally F. Mills,

Respondents.

Constitution. 1

PER CURIAM. The court is equally divided. Chief Justice Day, Justice Abrahamson and Justice Bablitch believe that the amendments to the Milwaukee Parental Choice Program (MPCP) are contrary to art. 1, § 18 and art. X, § 3 of the Wisconsin

Justice Steinmetz, Justice Wilcox and Justice Geske believe, based both upon the legislation before us and the stipulated facts, that respondents have not met the burden to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the legislative amendments to MPCP violate the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the U.S. Const., art. I, § 18 of the Wis. Const., art. X, § 3 of the Wis. Const. or Wisconsin's Public Purpose Doctrine.²

¹Because these three justices would hold the expanded MPCP program unconstitutional under the Wisconsin Constitution, they would not reach the issue of whether it should also be found unconstitutional under the United States Constitution.

²The original program withstood challenges brought on a variety of state constitutional bases in <u>Davis v.Grover</u>, 166 Wis. 2d 501, 512, 480 N.W.2d 460 (1992), in part because the majority of this court concluded that the program was experimental in nature and served to advance the goal of improving "the quality of education in Wisconsin for children of low-income families." Justices Steinmetz, Wilcox and Geske believe that while the

A majority of the participating judges must agree on a particular point for it to be considered the opinion of the court.

State v. Elam, 195 Wis. 2d 683, 685, 538 N.W.2d 249 (1995).

Conversely, when the court splits evenly, as is the case here, the court would ordinarily affirm the court of appeals' decision if the case was before the court on appeal, or remand to the court of appeals for further proceedings if the case was before the court on a bypass or certification. Id. at 684-85. This case, however, is not before the court on appeal, bypass or certification but rather as an original action pursuant to a petition for removal from the Dane County Circuit Court.

Accordingly, the stay of proceedings pending in the Dane County Circuit Court is lifted; the preliminary injunction enjoining all portions of the amended MPCP is continued until further order of the Dane County Circuit Court; and the original action proceeding pending before this court is dismissed without prejudice.

ANN WALSH BRADLEY, J., did not participate.

^{(...}continued)

legislature has chosen to expand the program in the amendments challenged here, the program is still limited and experimental and, under the stipulated facts, remains inoffensive to the dictates of the United States Constitution, the Wisconsin Constitution and the Wisconsin Public Purpose Doctrine.

SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

Case No.: 95-2153-OA

Complete Title of Case:

State of Wisconsin ex rel. Tommy G. Thompson, Governor, State of Wisconsin,

Petitioner,

John T. Benson, State Superintendent of Public Instruction, Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction and James E. Doyle, Attorney General, Necessary-Parties,

Marquelle Miller, Cynthia Miller, Angela Gray, Zachery Gray, Shon Richardson, George Richardson, Latrisha Henry, Faye Henry, Reigne Barrett, Valerie Barrett, Candice Williams, Senton Williams Clintrai Giles, Sharon Giles, Parents for School Schoice, Pilar Gonzalez, Dinah Cooley, Julie Vogel, Kate Helsper, Blong Yang, Gail Crockett, Yolanda Lassiter and Jeanine Knox,

Necessary-Partiies-Intervening-Petitoners, Rep. Annette Polly Williams, Intervenor-Petitioner,

Warner Jackson, Jennifer Evans, Wendell Harris, The Reverend Andrew Kennedy, Rabbi Issac Serotta, Ceilann Libber, Father Thomas J. Mueller, Reverend John N. Gregg, Diane Brewer, Colleen Beaman, Mary Morris, Penny Morse, Kathleen Jones, Philip Jones, Milwaukee Teachers' Education Association, by its President, M. Charles Howard, Michael Langyel, Donald Lucier, Tracy Adams, Milwaukee Public Schools Administrators and Supervisors Council, Inc., by its Executive Director, Carl A. Gobel, People for the American Way, by its Executive Vice President and Legal Director, Elliott M. Mincberg, John Drew, Susan Endress, Richard Riley, Jeanette Robertson, Vincent Knox, Bertha Zamudio, James Johnson, Robert Ullman and Sally Mills,

Respondents.

ORIGINAL ACTION

Opinion Filed: March 29, 1996

Submitted on Briefs:

Oral Argument: February 27, 1996

Source of APPEAL

COURT: Circuit
COUNTY: DANE

JUDGE: PAUL B. HIGGINBOTHAM

JUSTICES:

Concurred: Dissented:

Not Participating: BRADLEY, J., did not participate

ATTORNEYS: For the petitioner there were briefs by Edward S. Marion and Murphy & Desmond, S.C., Madison and Kenneth Starr, Jay P. Lefkowitz, Paul D. Clement and Kirkland & Ellis, Washington, D.C. and oral argument by Kenneth Starr.

For the necessary parties the cause was submitted on the briefs by Warren D. Weinstein, assistant attorney general, with whom on the briefs was James E. Doyle, attorney general.

For necessary parties, Marquelle Miller, et al., there were briefs by Lauren Brown-Perry, Madison and Mark J. Bredemeier, Janice S. O'Brien and Landmark Legal Foundation, Kansas City, MO and oral argument by Mark J. Bredemeier.

For necessary parties, Parents for School Choice, et al., there were briefs by *Michael D. Dean*, Milwaukee and *William H. Mellor, III, Clint Bolick* and *Institute for Justice*, Washington, D.C. and oral argument by *Clint Bolick*.

For the respondents there was a brief by Jeffrey J. Kassel, Melanie E. Cohen and LaFollette & Sinykin, Madison; Steven K. Green and Americans United For Separation of Church and State, Washington, D.C.; Peter M. Koneazny and American Civil Liberties Unition of Wisconsin Founation, Inc., Milwaukee; Steven R. Shapiro and American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, New York, NY and oral argument by Jeffrey J. Kassel.

For respondents, Milwaukee Teachers Education Association, et al, there was a brief by Richard Perry, Richard Saks and Perry, Lerner & Quindell, Milwaukee; Robert H. Chanin, John M. West and

Bredhoff & Kaiser, P.L.L.C., Washington D.C.; Bruce Meredith, Chris Galinat and Wisconsin Education Association Council, Madison; Elliot M. Mincberg, Judith Schaeffer and People for the American Way Action Fund, Washington D.C. and Timothy Hawks and Shneidman, Myers, Dowling & Blumenfield, Milwaukee and oral argument by Robert H. Chanin.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by Steven J. Schooler and Lawton & Cates, S.C., Madison for the Board of Church and Society and Chancellor, Wisconsin Annual Conference of the United Methodist Church.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by Alex Flynn and Alex Flynn & Associates, S.C., Milwaukee and Mark E. Chopko, John A. Liekweg and U.S. Catholic Conference, Washington, D.C. for the Archdiocese of Milwaukee and United States Catholic Conference.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by *Grant F. Langley*, city attorney, *Susan D. Bickert*, *Roxane L. Crawford*, assistant city attorneys, Milwaukee for the Board of School Directors of the City of Milwaukee.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by *Michael L. Williams* and *Haynes and Boone, L.L.P.*, Fort Worth, TX, for the Center for New Black Leadership.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by William H. Lynch and Law Offices of William H. Lynch and James Hall and Hall, Patterson & Charne, all of Milwaukee for the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by Gordon E. McQuillen and Cullen, Weston, Pines & Bach, Madison and David B. Isbell, Johnathan E. Mansfield and Covington & Burling, Washington, D.C. for the National Committee for Public Education & Religious Liberty.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by Steven P. Means and Michael, Best & Friedrich, Madison and Keith A. Fournier, Jay Alan Sekulow, John P. Tuskey, James M. Henderson and The American Center for Law & Justice, Washington, D.C. for the Family Life Project of the American Center for Law.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by Michael P. May, Mark J. Steichen, M. Tess O'Brien-Heinzen and Boardman, Suhr, Curry & Field, Madison for the Wisconsin Interfaith Impact of the Wisconsin Conference of Churches.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by H. Yvonne Vinkemulder,

Madison and Steven T. McFarland, Gregory S. Baylor, Annandale, VA and, of counsel, Thomas C. Berg, Birmingham, AL for the Christian Legal Society, Christian Life Commission of the Southern Baptist Convention and National Association of Evangelicals.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by Bradden C. Backer and Godfrey & Kahn, S.C., and Robert L. Gordon and Weiss, Berzowski, Brady & Donahue, all of Milwaukee, for the Milwaukee Jewish Council for Community Relations and the Wisconsin Jewish Conference.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by *Michael J. Julka, Franck C. Sutherland* and *Lathrop & Clark*, Madison for the Wisconsin Association of School Boards, Inc.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by *Michael J. Bachhuber*, Milwaukee for Progressive Milwaukee.

Amicus curiae brief was filed by *Jeffrey Spitzer-Resnick*, Madison for the Wisconsin Coalition for Advocacy, Inc.